



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,497	07/16/2001		Masanori Hattori	211371US2RD	2254
22850	7590	09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN I			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				3627	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/904,497	HATTORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Cuff	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	entember 2006						
	action is non-final.						
		osecution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		00 0.0.270					
	the emplication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-23 and 26-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-4,7-23 and 26-39 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 9/5/06, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejection has been withdrawn.

A new non-final rejection has been provided

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-13, 15-17, 19-23, 26-32, 34, 35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch in view of Nocek et al.

Welch teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for a teaching of time information and scheduled activities.

Welch shows a location-triggered reminder for mobile user devices. From column 1, lines 23-42) Illustratively, according to the invention, a mobile user devicesuch as a personal digital assistant (PDA, Internet accessible), a wireless telephone, a car phone, or any other programmable device that the user generally has with him or her--is equipped with a global positioning system (GPS) receiver and is programmable by the user to alert the user to when he or she (along with the device) arrives at a predetermined (scheduled) location (user state judgment unit), as well as to disclose to

Application/Control Number: 09/904,497

Art Unit: 3627

Page 3

the user whatever information (stored information) or perform whatever action the user chose to associate with the location. Thus, for example, when the user arrives in the vicinity of the post office, the device alerts him or her that they have a letter to post; when the user is passing by the local grocery store (automatically judged to be currently fit to make a purchase), the device alerts (user notification unit) him or her and displays a shopping list; and when the user arrives at home, the device alerts him or her to check the furnace filters. Consequently, the user does not have to rely on his or her memory to be reminded of desired information or actions upon his or her arrival at a particular location. From column 3, lines 34-38, instead of or in addition to displaying information associated with a location, other actions may be taken, including sending signals on the I/O port (via a network) to other devices such as personal computers or an automobile.

Nocek et al. teaches a method and system for providing reminders about points of interests while traveling, which includes both location and time data along with route or schedule in order to minimize one's travel time and still get to the desired locations.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the position judging Welch system to incorporate the time element of the Nocek et al. system in order to minimize one's travel time and still get to the desired locations.

Art Unit: 3627

Claims 14, 18, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination system of Welch and Nocek et al., as applied to claims 1 and 20 above, in further view of Jacobi et al.

The combination system of Welch and Nocek et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for a teaching of a purchase log and the step of recommending new items based on the purchase log.

Jacobi et al teach an online recommendation system that recommends products to users based on their purchase history (see, for example, column 1, lines 14-25) in order to identify the items that may be of interest to a particular user.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination system of Welch and Nocek et al. to specify, as part of its taught "other actions may be taken", contacting and using the Jacobi et al. system in order to identify the items that may be of interest to a particular user.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,497

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

left 9/21/06

Michael Cuff

September 18, 2006